#### SCREENING SUMMARY - ALTERNATIVE 'A'

Alternative 'A' includes... (A description of the alternative or concept would follow)

## **Project Problem Statement**

Marked by aging infrastructure and limited transportation options, the Bow-Concord I-93 Corridor neither meets the varied transportation and safety demands of interstate highway users, nor appropriately balances those demands against the interests of the Capital Region communities in their unique identities and visions, their economic vitality, preservation of and access to their natural and historic resources, and their quality of life. Future population and economic growth, in the region and beyond, will increase transportation demand and further exacerbate this problem.

### **Project Goal Statement**

The Bow-Concord I-93 Corridor should balance the needs of all users and the surrounding communities by providing a safe, affordable, reliable, environmentally acceptable and community compatible transportation system. The system will offer mobility choices and complement the unique character of the Capital Region communities. It will support their economic initiatives, preserve and/or enhance their natural and historic resources, facilitate non-vehicular access, and sustain the communities' quality of life, now and into the future.

`ategory	Score					
Category		_	0	+	++	
Access						
Aesthetics						
Community Resources						
Economic Vitality						
Historic and Archeological Resources						
Implementation						
Mobility						
Natural Environment						
Public Health						
Quality of Life						
Residential Neighborhoods						
Safety						
Support						
Transportation Choice						

(The overall results of the screening would be described here.)		
Wedia 20 acconsecunción	Unreasonable	Reasonable

#### SCREENING CRITERIA

The purpose of screening is to evaluate whether a concept is effective in addressing the problems and goals defined for this project. The criteria on the following pages will be used during the planning phase to determine if a concept is reasonable and should be included in the range of reasonable alternatives. The criteria are arranged into fourteen categories that are summarized on the previous page. The Scoring System outlined below is a qualitative measure of a concepts ability to meet the criteria. The Category Score is an overall score for the particular category that is not just the sum of the detailed scoring.

		Scoring System		
()	(-)	(0)	(+)	(++)
Fatal Flaw Impact	Negative Impact	Neutral	Benefit	Substantial Benefit
Serious Degradation	Degradation Opposition	Not Applicable  No Impact	Improvement Enhancement	Substantial Improvement
Unreasonable	оррозион		Support	Reasonable
Strong Opposition			23.560.0	Strong Support

### **Detailed Screening Criteria**

Access			Score		
Access		_	0	+	++
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Bow.					
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Concord.					
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Pembroke.					
Evaluate the access provided to and from tourist destinations.					
Comments: Category Score					

Aesthetics			Score		
Aestrietics		_	0	+	++
Evaluate the views of the adjacent communities from I-93.					
Evaluate the views of I-93 from the adjacent communities.					
Evaluate the views of and from the Merrimack River.					
Evaluate whether the unique character of the Capital Region is complemented.					
Comments: Category Score					-

Community Resources						
			_	0	+	++
Evaluate the effect on parks.						
Evaluate the effect on schools.						
Comments:	Category Score		-			_

Community Vision		Score		
Community vision	 _	0	+	++
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Bow Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.				
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Concord Master Plan, the Opportunity Corridor Master Plan, and/or other current planning documents.				
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Pembroke Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.				
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the master plans and/or other planning documents from the other communities in the region.				
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the CNHRPC Regional Master Plan.				
Evaluate the potential impacts on population and employment growth in the region.				
Comments: Category Score				

Economic Vitality			Score		
		_	0	+	++
Evaluate the potential impacts to Bow's existing businesses and commercial districts.					
Evaluate the potential impacts to Concord's existing businesses and commercial districts.					
Evaluate the potential impacts to Pembroke's existing businesses and commercial districts.					
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Bow.					
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Concord.					
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Pembroke.					
Evaluate the potential impacts to regional economic prospects.					
Comments: Category Score					

Historic and Archeological Resources						
			_	0	+	++
Evaluate the effect on historic resources.						
Evaluate the effect on archeological resources.						
Comments:	Category Score					

Implementation		Score						
Implementation			_	0	+	++		
Evaluate the cost.								
Evaluate the ability to implement in phases over a period of time.								
Evaluate the ability to maintain mobility and access during construction.								
Comments:	Category Score							

Mobility			Score		
		_	0	+	++
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for tourists to and through the region during peak periods.					
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for commuters to and from the region during peak periods.					
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for local traffic movement during peak periods.					
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for the movement of goods and services in the region.					
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.					
Comments: Category Score					

Natural Environment			Score		
		_	0	+	++
Evaluate the effect on wildlife habitat and fisheries based upon the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan priorities, for example, the floodplain forest of the Merrimack River and its tributaries and upland vegetated buffers around wetlands and surface waters.					
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern wildlife species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping and the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan.					
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern plant species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping.					
Evaluate the effect on large forest blocks, existing agricultural farms and prime soils for forest land and agriculture.					
Evaluate the effect on surface waters, aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas.					
Comments: Category Score					_

Public Health	Score						
Fublic Health		_	0	+	++		
Evaluate the effect on air quality during construction (i.e., traffic jams, construction equipment, detours, etc) and post construction including mobile-source air toxins.							
Evaluate the effect on walkable communities.							
Evaluate the effect on drinking water quality and quantity.							
Comments: Category Score							

Quality of Life	Score						
Quality of Life		_	0	+	++		
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those living in the region.							
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those working in the region.							
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those traveling through the region.							
Evaluate the effect on noise levels.							
Comments: Category Score							

Residential Neighborhoods		Score						
			-	0	+	++		
Evaluate the effect on existing residential neighborhoods.								
Evaluate the effect on planned or developing residential neighborhoods.								
Comments:	Category Score							

Safety	Score					
Salety		_	0	+	++	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-93.						
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-89.						
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-393.						
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on local streets.						
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.						
Comments: Category Score						

Support		Score						
			_	0	+	++		
Evaluate the support from the public in Bow.								
Evaluate the support from the public in Pembroke.								
Evaluate the support from the public in Concord.								
Evaluate the support from the public in the other communities in the Cer NH Region.	ntral							
Evaluate the support from those communities whose livelihood is depend upon travel through the region.	dent							
Evaluate the support from resource agencies.								
Evaluate the support from resource groups.								
Comments: Category S	core							

Transportation Choice	Score					
Transportation Choice		_	0	+	++	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for future passenger rail service to the region.						
Evaluate the effectiveness to preserve the current freight rail service and enhance future freight rail service in the region.						
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of freight transport in the region including rail, truck and air.						
Evaluate the effectiveness to expand bus service in the region.						
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the region.						
Evaluate the effectiveness to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles in the region.						
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of transportation						
Comments: Category Score						